Nondestructive Prediction of the Buckling Load of Imperfect Shells
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From soda cans to space rockets, thin-walled cylindrical shells are abundant, offering exceptional load carrying capacity at relatively low weight. However, the actual load at which any shell buckles and collapses is very sensitive to imperceptible defects and cannot be predicted, which challenges the use of empirical design rules. Consequently, probabilistic descriptions in terms of design rules are used and designing reliable structures requires the use of conservative strength estimates. We introduce a nonlinear description where finite-amplitude perturbations trigger buckling. Drawing from the analogy between imperfect shells which buckle and imperfect pipe flow which becomes turbulent, we experimentally show that lateral probing of cylindrical shells reveals their strength nondestructively. A new ridge-tracking method is applied to commercial cylinders with a hole showing that when the location where buckling nucleates is known we can accurately predict the buckling load of each individual shell, within ±5%. Our study provides a new promising framework to understand shell buckling, and more generally, imperfection-sensitive instabilities.
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Compress an empty soda can from its top and it will remain stable over a considerable range of loads. However, at a critical load, the can eventually buckles, violently collapses, and irreversibly deforms. A classical linear stability analysis greatly overestimates the buckling load of thin cylinder shells and domes [1–3], because the system is extremely sensitive to defects [4–9].

Classical theory mostly cannot capture the influence of defects and thus, in general dramatically overestimates the carrying load of engineered shells, infamously affecting structural reliability [10]. The ratio between the actual measured buckling load and the theoretical prediction is termed the knock-down factor. The knock-down factor tends to decrease with the ratio of the shell’s radius of curvature to its thickness, $R/t$, but there are extreme stochastic variations between nominally identical shells, as shown in Fig. 1. Fifty years ago, NASA SP-8007 proposed a conservative phenomenological “design rule” [4], which estimates a lower bound for the distribution of knock-down factors and remains the basis for US and European design codes even today.

Any sound prediction of the knock-down factor of a specific manufactured shell currently requires complete knowledge of the shell’s imperfections. A full characterization of imperfections is extremely challenging [10] and practically impossible for real engineered structures. Consequently, the NASA design rule which on average severely underestimates buckling loads remains in use. Here we introduce a nondestructive method that accurately predicts the buckling load of an individual defected shell without prior knowledge of imperfections.

Characterizing defect sensitivity as observed in shell buckling is notoriously difficult because in any experimental setup a multitude of details need to be controlled practically perfectly. Notably, a similarly challenging situation arose in fluid mechanics, where the concepts of finite-amplitude perturbations in combination with very careful experiments lead to a complete rethinking of the transition from laminar flow to turbulence in pipe flows [11–14]. Just as there is no universal buckling load at which real imperfect shells buckle, there is no universal Reynolds number at which turbulence develops in real, imperfect...
poker is a probe exploring the system. Induced deformation is not interpreted as a defect; instead, the poker force applied on the shell response to finite-amplitude perturbations. By measuring [23, 24] and cylindrical shells with radially applied perturbation loads were studied in the context of aerospace applications [25–33]. Analogous experiments were performed by Reis and co-workers for pressurized hemispherical shells [23, 24] as well as others [20–22].

In contrast to previous work, in our approach the poker-induced deformation is not interpreted as a defect; instead, the poker is a probe exploring the system’s nonlinear response to finite-amplitude perturbations. By measuring the poker force applied on the shell \( F_P \) as a function of displacement, \( D_P \), we recently quantified the critical perturbations that trigger buckling for varying axial loads \( F_A \). Mapping out the conditions under which buckling occurs, we identified a universal stability landscape for cylindrical shells, represented as a surface in a \((F_A, F_P, D_P)\) three-dimensional space [15, 34].

The landscape, which can be probed experimentally, characterizes the stability of a shell, which, in the most general case, is dictated by the complex correlation structure of its many defects. The stability landscape features a valley, a lake, and a ridge (as described in Ref. [15] and Fig. 4). Advancing the poker beyond the ridge, defined by the peak poker force, will ultimately bring the system to the lake shore, where the shell buckles. As \( F_A \) is increased, the ridge descents, asymptoting towards a zero maximal poker force where the axial load for spontaneous buckling is reached. It is therefore tempting to track the path of the ridge and attempt to infer the critical buckling load without reaching it. Implementing ridge tracking as a nondestructive method to predict the load-carrying capacity of a given shell and rethinking buckling as a nonlinear finite-amplitude instability would enable us to finally move beyond the very conservative NASA design rule and develop a fundamental and scalable approach to confront imperfection sensitivity in the design and control of thin shells.

Drawing from the analogy between imperfect shells which buckle and imperfect pipe flow which becomes turbulent, we show that lateral probing of cylindrical shells can indeed reveal their strength. By examining the safe regions of the stability landscape and extrapolating to the critical conditions, we use lateral probing to nondestructively predict the strength of individual imperfect shells. We direct buckling nucleation, by intentionally introducing a guiding defect in the shell. Doing so, we show, as a proof of concept, that probing near this guiding defect yields an accurate estimate of the shell’s buckling load. Our results show that when the guiding defect is identified, tracking the ridge and extrapolating to where it vanishes works extremely well and predicts the critical buckling load to within 5% precision. This result considerably surpasses the NASA design rule which estimates a lower bound for the distribution of nominally identical shells while we accurately predict the strength of individual shells. Ridge tracking thereby allows for a deterministic rather than a probabilistic prediction, and thereby opens avenues towards new design and control strategies for thin shell structures.

The experimental setup consists of a custom-made biaxial mechanical tester (ADMET, Inc.) described in detail previously [15]. A vertical actuator, equipped with a load cell, applies an axial load \( F_A \) on the sample by moving at a constant speed of 5 mm/min. The lateral actuator has a steel marble of diameter 4.7 mm at its tip and serves as the poker. Importantly, axial and lateral loading are always displacement controlled, and lateral poking is performed at set end shortening; the axial load varies by less than 2% during poking. An acquisition card (National Instrument DAQ USB-6001) records forces and displacements, and controls the actuators analog signal, enabling autonomous ridge tracking. The samples are empty 7.5 oz...
mini Coke cans, made of aluminum. These are cylindrical shells of radius $R = 28.6$ mm, midplane thickness $t = 104 \pm 4$ $\mu$m (radius-to-thickness ratio $R/t = 274$), and height $L = 107$ mm. The buckling load of each shell is dictated by the complex correlation structure of its many defects; it is thus practically impossible to predict where buckling will nucleate. We therefore intentionally introduce a guiding defect that fixes the preferential nucleation point to a predefined location close to the probe: A hole of 1 mm in diameter, is drilled 1 mm above the midplane of the cylinder as shown in Fig. 3(a). The edge of the hole is circular and slightly curved inwards. At the chosen parameters, with a radius below the characteristic scale of $\sqrt{Rt} = 1.7$ mm the strength of the can is not determined by the hole [35,36]. Instead the distribution of spontaneous buckling loads remains broad indicating that the stability properties remain dominated by the unknown defects of each specific can.

Ridge tracking requires systematically poking the sample under gradually increasing axial loads without triggering buckling, as shown for a typical experiment in Fig. 3(b). In this example, the sample is initially loaded to an axial load $F_A = 600 \pm 1$ N, which is less than 25% of the strength predicted by linear stability analysis. The axial end shortening is then set, and the poker slowly advances towards the sample at a constant rate of $2$ mm/min, contacting the shell 1 mm below the hole. Once the poker contacts the shell, the probe force gradually increases. When the force reaches its peak $F_{p\text{max}}$, the poker automatically stops at a displacement $D_{p\text{max}}$, and returns to its initial position, as shown in the inset of Fig. 3(b).

The axial load is then sequentially increased in steps of approximately 25 N and the next poker force-displacement curve is measured. These force-displacement curves gradually build up the sample’s stability landscape, which exhibits a distinct ridge. The procedure is then stopped when the peak poker force $F_{p\text{max}}$ falls below 0.3 N. A linear extrapolation of the ridge to vanishing poker force yields a prediction for the spontaneous buckling load. In this specific experiment, ridge tracking predicts a buckling load of $F_{A\text{predicted}} = 893$ N. To test this prediction, the sample is compressed until it spontaneously buckles at a load of $F_{A\text{buckling}} = 887$ N, remarkably close (within 0.5%) to the predicted value as shown in Fig. 3(b).

In total, 38 samples were tested, with initial loads ranging between $F_A = 522$ N to $F_A = 694$ N, and an average load increment of 23 N. One exceptionally fragile...
sample failed at the first loading, before being probed. Otherwise, samples sustained repeated loading cycles, their ridges follow a straight line in the plane \((F^p_A, F^\text{max}_A)\), while converging towards a wide range of buckling loads, as shown for five examples in Fig. 3(c).

Intriguingly, the ridges are only straight when probing is done near the hole. Probing further away from it yields ridges that turn towards the buckling-load prediction, as shown in the inset to Fig. 3(c). When probing beyond a distance of approximately 1 cm from the hole, the ridges do not point towards the actual buckling load, and the prediction fails—hence the importance of introducing a preferred nucleation location and probing close to it.

Overall, for the 38 samples tested, the ridge-tracking protocol accurately predicts the buckling load over a wide range of critical loads, from \(F^\text{buckling}_A = 761\) N to \(F^\text{buckling}_A = 1108\) N, as shown in Fig. 3(d). The relative error of the prediction, \(\eta = \frac{F^\text{predicted}_A - F^\text{buckling}_A}{F^\text{buckling}_A}\) has a standard deviation of 6.9\% for the whole bunch. Even more remarkably, when buckling does initiate near the hole, this deviation drops to 2.7\% and the average error \(\eta\) is 1.6\% [blue diamonds in Fig. 3(d)]. This confirms that ridge tracking works extremely well if we probe in the vicinity of the hole guiding nucleation of buckling. Besides providing a promising nondestructive method to probe the load-carrying capacity of a shell, these experiments unambiguously show that the ridge is locally distorted by the defects controlling the load-carrying capacity. We now propose an interpretation of the observed behavior inspired by the analogy with the onset of turbulence in pipe flow, based on a dynamical-system approach.

The dynamical systems approach suggests an appealing conceptual framing for the cylinder buckling problem, illustrated schematically in Fig. 4. All deformations of a given cylinder span the high dimensional state space of the system. Specific deformations including the undeformed cylinder, periodic eigenmodes considered in classical stability analysis [6,37], but also any local deformation induced by a poker at a specific location are points in the state space. Thus, deforming the shell with an advancing poker corresponds to tracing out a continuous path in the state space. When the shell is compressed at a subcritical axial load, the unbuckled state is linearly stable, but nonlinearly unstable. Small deformations will relax back to the unbuckled state while large amplitude deformations may trigger buckling. The state-space region around the unbuckled state in which deformations decay forms the finite basin of attraction of the unbuckled state. It can be figuratively thought of as a deep concave bowl, the unbuckled state at its base, and all stable deformations roll towards it.

States outside the basin of attraction will not return to the unbuckled state but lead to a nonlinear instability and trigger buckling. Separating deformations that trigger buckling from those that return to the unbuckled state is the basin boundary, a codimension one manifold in the state space defining the nonlinear stability threshold. As the axial load increases, the basin of attraction shrinks, until the basin boundary eventually reaches the unbuckled state, as shown in Fig. 4(a). At this load, the basin vanishes, the unbuckled state becomes linearly unstable, and spontaneous buckling is triggered by infinitesimal perturbations. For a perfect shell, the basin collapses at the critical load computed by standard linear stability theory.

For a real shell with imperfections, we expect all state-space structures and specifically the basin boundary to be distorted compared to the perfect shell, as shown on Fig. 4(b). Consequently, the basin boundary will reach the unbuckled state at a different load, namely the spontaneous buckling load of the defected shell.

Embedded in the basin boundary are unstable saddle points including localized single dimple deformations [20,38–40] which define the critical amplitude of a localized perturbation triggering buckling nonlinearly. The advancing poker thus probes a path in the state space that leads to the saddle point within the basin boundary. Introducing an additional controlled imperfection, such as slightly curved holes, deforms the basin of attraction,
and likely moves the corresponding single dimple saddle point closer to the unbuckled state. Thus for the real shell, increasing the axial load will trigger the localized unstable mode at the location of the defect first, which rationalizes how the hole controls the location where buckling is preferentially nucleated.

We suggest that the stability landscape is composed of experimentally accessible projections of the basin of attraction along one path of deformations in a direction corresponding to the single dimple deformations and at different axial loads. The stability landscape thus indicates how the basin of attraction, measured in the direction of the guiding imperfection shrinks under increasing axial load and at which load it vanishes. Probing the shell at one location, passing the ridge and reaching the lake, thus yields the distance between the unbuckled state and the basin boundary, as shown in Fig. 4(c). A convenient feature of ridge tracking, as opposed to lake tracking, is that we are tracking the height of the cliff, and not its width, while both vanish at the critical axial buckling load. This probing protocol keeps the system safely within the basin of attraction.

The successful prediction of spontaneous buckling loads highlights the utility of transferring nonlinear concepts from the study of turbulence transition in subcritical shear flows to shell buckling. Treating buckling as a nonlinear instability triggered by finite-amplitude perturbations of a subcritically loaded shell rather than as a linear instability problem, rationalizes the striking sensitivity to defects. Moreover, it provides avenues for predicting the strength of a shell in the presence of an unknown defect distribution. We have specifically shown that characterizing the load-dependent critical perturbation amplitude via ridge tracking can predict the strength of commercial mini Coke cans. The method involves introducing an additional localized imperfection in the form of a hole and probing in the vicinity of it. Notably, introducing the hole does not determine the strength of the shell which still varies greatly. In fact the hole does not appear to weaken the can at all; thus, introducing holes does not trivialize the challenge of buckling-load prediction. We hypothesize that the additionally introduced imperfection guarantees that the unstable mode excited first will indeed be a local dimple buckle near the probing location. Under these conditions, the stability landscape provides the most relevant projection of the basin boundary. Without a priori knowledge of where buckling will initiate, ridge tracking will likely require us to probe at numerous locations. The precise conditions under which the additional controlled defect aids probing yet not affects the strength of the shell itself remain to be investigated in detail. Interestingly, while the specific hole does not determine the strength of the can, it does seem to serve as a nucleus or lightning rod for the nucleation of buckling, forcing a specific initiation location and allowing ridge tracking to work. Introducing controlled defects and ridge tracking opens new and exciting approaches for probing and controlling the stability of thin shell structures.
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